-Part III-

Superconductivity, Superfluidity and Zero-Point Oscillations

Instead an Epigraph

There is a parable that the population of one small south russian town in the old days was divided between parishioners of the Christian church and the Jewish synagogue.

A Christian priest was already heavily wiser by a life experience, and the rabbi was still quite young and energetic.

One day the rabbi came to the priest for advice.

- My colleague, - he said, - I lost my bike. I feel that it was stolen by someone from there, but who did it I can not identify. Tell me what to do.

- Yes, I know one scientific method for this case, - replied the priest.

You should do this: invite all your parishioners the synagogue and read them the \ll Ten Commandments of Moses \gg . When you read \ll Thou shalt not steal \gg , lift your head and look carefully into the eyes of your listeners. The listener who turns his eyes aside will be the guilty party.

A few days later, the rabbi comes to visit the priest with a bottle of Easter-vodka and on his bike. The priest asked the rabbi to tell him what happened in details. The rabbi told the priest that his theory had worked in practice and the bike was found. The rabbi relayed the story:

- I collected my parishioners and began to preach. While I approached reading the *«Do not commit adultery» commandment I remembered then where I forgotten my bike!*

So it is true: there is indeed nothing more practical than a good theory!

Chapter 6

Superconductivity as a Consequence of Ordering of Zero-Point Oscillations in Electron Gas

6.1 Superconductivity as a Consequence of Ordering of Zero-Point Oscillations

Superfluidity and superconductivity, which can be regarded as the superfluidity of the electron gas, are related phenomena. The main feature of these phenomena can be seen in a fact that a special condensate in superconductors as well as in superfluid helium is formed from particles interconnected by attraction. This mutual attraction does not allow a scattering of individual particles on defects and walls, if the energy of this scattering is less than the energy of attraction. Due to the lack of scattering, the condensate acquires ability to move without friction.

Superconductivity was discovered over a century ago, and the superfluidity about thirty years later.

However, despite the attention of many scientists to the study of these phenomena, they have been the great mysteries in condensed matter physics for a long time. This mystery attracted the best minds of the twentieth century.

The mystery of the superconductivity phenomenon has begun to drop in the middle of the last century when the effect of magnetic flux quantization in superconducting cylinders was discovered and investigated. This phenomenon was predicted even before the WWII by brothers F. London and H. London, but its quantitative study were performed only two decades later.

By these measurements it became clear that at the formation of the superconducting state, two free electrons are combined into a single boson with zero spin and zero momentum.

Around the same time, it was observed that the substitution of one isotope of the superconducting element to another leads to a changing of the critical temperature of superconductors: the phenomenon called an isotope-effect [35], [36]. This effect was interpreted as the direct proof of the key role of phonons in the formation of the superconducting state.

Following these understandings, L. Cooper proposed the phonon mechanism of electron pairing on which base the microscopic theory of superconductivity (so called BCS-theory) was built by N. Bogolyubov and J. Bardeen, L. Cooper and J. Shrieffer (probably it should be named better the Bogolyubov-BCS-theory).

However the B-BCS theory based on the phonon mechanism brokes a hypothetic link between superconductivity and superfluidity as in liquid helium there are no phonons for combining atoms.

Something similar happened with the description of superfluidity.

Soon after discovery of superfluidity, L. D. Landau in his first papers on the subject immediately demonstrated that this superfluidity should be considered as a result of condensate formation consisting of macroscopic number of atoms in the same quantum

Chapter 6 Superconductivity as a Consequence of Ordering of Zero-Point Oscillations in Electron Gas

state and obeying quantum laws. It gave the possibility to describe the main features of this phenomenon: the temperature dependence of the superfluid phase density, the existence of the second sound, etc. But it does not gave an answer to the question which physical mechanism leads to the unification of the atoms in the superfluid condensate and what is the critical temperature of the condensate, i.e. why the ratio of the temperature of transition to the superfluid state to the boiling point of helium-4 is almost exactly equals to 1/2, while for helium-3, it is about a thousand times smaller.

On the whole, the description of both super-phenomena, superconductivity and superfluidity, to the beginning of the twenty first century induced some feeling of dissatisfaction primarily due to the fact that a common mechanism of their occurrence has not been understood.

More than fifty years of a study of the B-BCS-theory has shown that this theory successfully describes the general features of the phenomenon, but it can not be developed in the theory of superconductors. It explains general laws such as the emergence of the energy gap, the behavior of specific heat capacity, the flux quantization, etc., but it can not predict the main parameters of the individual superconductors: their critical temperatures and critical magnetic fields. More precisely, in the B-BCS-theory, the expression for the critical temperature of superconductor obtains an exponential form which exponential factor is impossible to measure directly and this formula is of no practical interest.

Recent studies of the isotopic substitution showed that zero-point oscillations of the ions in the metal lattice are not harmonic. Consequently the isotopic substitution affects the interatomic distances in a lattice, and as the result, they directly change the Fermi energy of a metal [50].

Therefore, the assumption developed in the middle of the last century, that the electronphonon interaction is the only possible mechanism of superconductivity was proved to be wrong. The direct effect of isotopic substitution on the Fermi energy gives a possibility to consider the superconductivity without the phonon mechanism.

Furthermore, a closer look at the problem reveals that the B-BCS-theory describes the mechanism of electron pairing, but in this theory there is no mechanism for combining pairs in the single super-ensemble. The necessary condition for the existence of

superconductivity is formation of a unique ensemble of particles. By this mechanism, a very small amount of electrons are combined in super-ensemble, on the level 10 in minus fifth power from the full number of free electrons. This fact also can not be understood in the framework of the B-BCS theory.

An operation of the mechanism of electron pairing and turning them into boson pairs is a necessary but not sufficient condition for the existence of a superconducting state. Obtained pairs are not identical at any such mechanism. They differ because of their uncorrelated zero-point oscillations and they can not form the condensate at that.

At very low temperatures, that allow superfluidity in helium and superconductivity in metals, all movements of particles are stopped except for their zero-point oscillations. Therefore, as an alternative, we should consider the interaction of super-particles through electro-magnetic fields of zero-point oscillations. This approach was proved to be fruitful. At the consideration of super-phenomena as consequences of the zero-point oscillations ordering, one can construct theoretical mechanisms enabling to give estimations for the critical parameters of these phenomena which are in satisfactory agreement with measurements.

As result, one can see that as the critical temperatures of (type-I) superconductors are equal to about 10^{-6} from the Fermi temperature for superconducting metal, which is consistent with data of measurements. At this the destruction of superconductivity by application of critical magnetic field occurs when the field destroys the coherence of zero-point oscillations of electron pairs. This is in good agreement with measurements also.

A such-like mechanism works in superfluid liquid helium. The problem of the interaction of zero-point oscillations of the electronic shells of neutral atoms in the s-state, was considered yet before the World War II by F. London. He has shown that this interaction is responsible for the liquefaction of helium. The closer analysis of interactions of zero-point oscillations for helium atomic shells shows that at first at the temperature of about 4K only, one of the oscillations mode becomes ordered. As a result, the forces of attraction appear between atoms which are need for helium liquefaction. To create a single quantum ensemble, it is necessary to reach the complete ordering of atomic oscillations. At the complete ordering of oscillations at about 2K, the additional energy of the mutual attraction appears and the system of helium-4 atoms transits in superfluid state. To form the superfluid quantum ensemble in Helium-3, not only the

zero-point oscillations should be ordered, but the magnetic moments of the nuclei should be ordered too. For this reason, it is necessary to lower the temperature below 0.001K. This is also in agreement with experiment.

Thus it is possible to show that both related super-phenomena, superconductivity and superfluidity, are based on the single physical mechanism: the ordering of zero-point oscillations.

The roles of zero-point oscillations in formation of the superconducting state have been previously considered in papers [37]-[39].

6.2 The Electron Pairing

J. Bardeen was first who turned his attention toward a possible link between superconductivity and zero-point oscillations [40]. The special role of zero-point vibrations exists due to the fact that at low temperatures all movements of electrons in metals have been frozen except for these oscillations.



Figure 6.1 The schematic representation of the energy levels of conducting electrons in a superconducting metal.

Superconducting condensate formation requires two mechanisms: first, the electrons must be united in boson pairs, and then the zero-point fluctuations must be ordered (see Figure 6.1).

The energetically favorable pairing of electrons in the electron gas should occur above the critical temperature.

Possibly, the pairing of electrons can occur due to the magnetic dipole-dipole interaction.

For the magnetic dipole-dipole interaction, to merge two electrons into the singlet pair at the temperature of about 10K, the distance between these particles must be small enough:

$$r < (\mu_B^2/kT_c)^{1/3} \approx a_B,$$
 (6.1)

where $a_B = \frac{\hbar^2}{m_e e^2}$ is the Bohr radius.

That is, two collectivized electrons must be localized in one lattice site volume. It is agreed that the superconductivity can occur only in metals with two collectivized electrons per atom, and cannot exist in the monovalent alkali and noble metals.

It is easy to see that the presence of magnetic moments on ion sites should interfere with the magnetic combination of electrons. This is confirmed by the experimental fact: as there are no strong magnetic substances among superconductors, so adding of iron, for example, to traditional superconducting alloys always leads to a lower critical temperature.

On the other hand, this magnetic coupling should not be destroyed at the critical temperature. The energy of interaction between two electrons, located near one lattice site, can be much greater. This is confirmed by experiments showing that throughout the period of the magnetic flux quantization, there is no change at the transition through the critical temperature of superconductor [41], [42].

The outcomes of these experiments are evidence that the existence of the mechanism of electron pairing is a necessary but not a sufficient condition for the existence of superconductivity.

The magnetic mechanism of electronic pairing proposed above can be seen as an assumption which is consistent with the measurement data and therefore needs a more detailed theoretic consideration and further refinement.

On the other hand, this issue is not very important in the grander scheme, because the

nature of the mechanism that causes electron pairing is not of a significant importance. Instead, it is important that there is a mechanism which converts the electronic gas into an ensemble of charged bosons with zero spin in the considered temperature range (as well as in a some range of temperatures above T_c).

If the temperature is not low enough, the electronic pairs still exist but their zero-point oscillations are disordered. Upon reaching the T_c , the interaction between zero-point oscillations should cause their ordering and therefore a superconducting state is created.

6.3 The Interaction of Zero-Point Oscillations



Figure 6.2 Two ions placed on the distance L and centers of their electronic clouds.

The principal condition for the superconducting state formation is the ordering of zero-point oscillations. It is realized because the paired electrons obeying Bose-Einstein statistics attract each other.

The origin of this attraction can be explained as follows.

Let two ion A and B be located on the z axis at the distance L from each other. Two collectivized electrons create clouds with centers at points 1 and 2 in the vicinity of each ions (Figure 6.2). Let r_1 be the radius-vector of the center of the first electronic cloud

relative to the ion A and r_2 is the radius-vector of the second electron relative to the ion B.

Following the Born-Oppenheimer approximation, slowly oscillating ions are assumed fixed. Let the temperature be low enough $(T \rightarrow 0)$, so only zero-point fluctuations of electrons would be taken into consideration.

In this case, the Hamiltonian of the system can be written as:

$$H = H_0 + H'$$

$$H_0 = -\frac{\hbar^2}{4m_e} \left(\nabla_1^2 + \nabla_2^2 \right) - \frac{4e^2}{r_1} - \frac{4e^2}{r_2}$$

$$H' = \frac{4e^2}{L} + \frac{4e^2}{r_{12}} - \frac{4e^2}{r_{1B}} - \frac{4e^2}{r_{2A}}$$
(6.2)

Eigenfunctions of the unperturbed Hamiltonian describes two ions surrounded by electronic clouds without interactions between them. Due to the fact that the distance between the ions is large compared with the size of the electron clouds $L \gg r$, the additional term H' characterizing the interaction can be regarded as a perturbation.

If we are interested in the leading term of the interaction energy for L, the function H' can be expanded in a series in powers of 1/L and we can write the first term:

$$H' = \frac{4e^2}{L} \left\{ 1 + \left[1 + \frac{2(z_2 - z_1)}{L} + \frac{(x_2 - x_1)^2 + (y_2 - y_1)^2 + (z_2 - z_1)^2}{L^2} \right]^{-1/2} - \left(1 - \frac{2z_1}{L} + \frac{r_1^2}{L^2} \right)^{-1/2} - \left(1 + \frac{2z_2}{L} + \frac{r_2^2}{L^2} \right)^{-1/2} \right\}.$$
(6.3)

After combining the terms in this expression, we get:

$$H' \approx \frac{4e^2}{L^3} \left(x_1 x_2 + y_1 y_2 - 2z_1 z_2 \right).$$
(6.4)

This expression describes the interaction of two dipoles d_1 and d_2 , which are formed by fixed ions and electronic clouds of the corresponding instantaneous configuration.

Let us determine the displacements of electrons which lead to an attraction in the system.

Let zero-point fluctuations of the dipole moments formed by ions with their electronic clouds occur with the frequency Ω_0 , whereas each dipole moment can be decomposed

into three orthogonal projection $d_x = ex$, $d_y = ey$ and $d_z = ez$, and fluctuations of the second clouds are shifted in phase on φ_x , φ_y and φ_z relative to fluctuations of the first.

As can be seen from Eq.(6.4), the interaction of z-components is advantageous at inphase zero-point oscillations of clouds, i.e., when $\varphi_z = 2\pi$.

Since the interaction of oscillating electric dipoles is due to the occurrence of oscillating electric field generated by them, the phase shift on 2π means that attracting dipoles are placed along the z-axis on the wavelength Λ_0 :

$$L_z = \Lambda_0 = \frac{c}{2\pi\Omega_0}.$$
(6.5)

As follows from (6.4), the attraction of dipoles at the interaction of the x and y-component will occur if these oscillations are in antiphase, i.e. if the dipoles are separated along these axes on the distance equals to half of the wavelength:

$$L_{x,y} = \frac{\Lambda_0}{2} = \frac{c}{4\pi\Omega_0}.$$
(6.6)

In this case

$$H' = -4e^2 \left(\frac{x_1 x_2}{L_x^3} + \frac{y_1 y_2}{L_y^3} + 2\frac{z_1 z_2}{L_z^3} \right).$$
(6.7)

Assuming that the electronic clouds have isotropic oscillations with amplitude a_0 for each axis

$$x_1 = x_2 = y_1 = y_2 = z_1 = z_2 = a_0 \tag{6.8}$$

we obtain

$$H' = 576\pi^3 \frac{e^2}{c^3} \Omega_0^3 a_0^2.$$
(6.9)

6.4 The Zero-Point Oscillations Amplitude

The principal condition for the superconducting state formation, that is the ordering of zero-point oscillations, is realized due to the fact that the paired electrons, which obey Bose-Einstein statistics, interact with each other.

At they interact, their amplitudes, frequencies and phases of zero-point oscillations become ordered.

Let an electron gas has density n_e and its Fermi-energy be \mathcal{E}_F . Each electron of this gas can be considered as fixed inside a cell with linear dimension λ_F :¹

$$\lambda_F^3 = \frac{1}{n_e} \tag{6.10}$$

which corresponds to the de Broglie wavelength:

$$\lambda_F = \frac{2\pi\hbar}{p_F}.\tag{6.11}$$

Having taken into account (6.11), the Fermi energy of the electron gas can be written as

$$\mathcal{E}_F = \frac{p_F^2}{2m_e} = 2\pi^2 \frac{e^2 a_B}{\lambda_F^2}.$$
 (6.12)

However, a free electron interacts with the ion at its zero-point oscillations. If we consider the ions system as a positive background uniformly spread over the cells, the electron inside one cell has the potential energy:

$$\mathcal{E}_p \simeq -\frac{e^2}{\lambda_F}.\tag{6.13}$$

As zero-point oscillations of the electron pair are quantized by definition, their frequency and amplitude are related

$$m_e a_0^2 \Omega_0 \simeq \frac{\hbar}{2}.$$
(6.14)

Therefore, the kinetic energy of electron undergoing zero-point oscillations in a limited region of space, can be written as:

$$\mathcal{E}_k \simeq \frac{\hbar^2}{2m_e a_0^2}.\tag{6.15}$$

¹ Of course, the electrons are quantum particles and their fixation cannot be considered too literally. Due to the Coulomb forces of ions, it is more favorable for collectivized electrons to be placed near the ions for the shielding of ions fields. At the same time, collectivized electrons are spread over whole metal. It is wrong to think that a particular electron is fixed inside a cell near to a particular ion. But the spread of the electrons does not play a fundamental importance for our further consideration, since there are two electrons near the node of the lattice in the divalent metal at any given time. They can be considered as located inside the cell as averaged.

In accordance with the virial theorem [45], if a particle executes a finite motion, its potential energy \mathcal{E}_p should be associated with its kinetic energy \mathcal{E}_k through the simple relation $|\mathcal{E}_p| = 2\mathcal{E}_k$.

In this regard, we find that the amplitude of the zero-point oscillations of an electron in a cell is:

$$a_0 \simeq \sqrt{2\lambda_F a_B}.\tag{6.16}$$

6.5 The Condensation Temperature

Hence the interaction energy, which unites particles into the condensate of ordered zero-point oscillations

$$\Delta_0 \equiv H' = 18\pi^3 \alpha^3 \frac{e^2 a_B}{\lambda_F^2},\tag{6.17}$$

where $\alpha = \frac{1}{137}$ is the fine structure constant.

Comparing this association energy with the Fermi energy (6.12), we obtain

$$\frac{\Delta_0}{\mathcal{E}_F} = 9\pi\alpha^3 \simeq 1.1 \cdot 10^{-5}.$$
 (6.18)

Assuming that the critical temperature below which the possible existence of such condensate is approximately equal

$$T_c \simeq \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Delta_0}{k} \tag{6.19}$$

(the coefficient approximately equal to 1/2 corresponds to the experimental data, discussed below in the section (7.6)).

After substituting obtained parameters, we have

$$T_c \simeq 5.5 \cdot 10^{-6} T_F$$
 (6.20)

The experimentally measured ratios $\frac{T_c}{T_F}$ for I-type superconductors are given in Table 7.1 and in Figure 7.1.

The straight line on this figure is obtained from Eq.(6.20), which as seen defines an upper limit of critical temperatures of I-type superconductors.

Science Publishing Group

Chapter 7

The Condensate of Zero-Point Oscillations and Type-I Superconductors

7.1 The Critical Temperature of Type-I Superconductors

In order to compare the critical temperature of the condensate of zero-point oscillations with measured critical temperatures of superconductors, at first we should make an estimation on the Fermi energies of superconductors. For this we use the experimental data for the Sommerfeld's constant through which the Fermi energy can be expressed:

$$\gamma = \frac{\pi^2 k^2 n_e}{4\mathcal{E}_F} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right)^{2/3} \left(\frac{k}{\hbar}\right)^2 m_e n_e^{1/3} \tag{7.1}$$

So on the basis of Eqs.(6.12) and (7.1), we get:

$$kT_F(\gamma) = \frac{p_F^2(\gamma)}{2m_e} \simeq \left(\frac{12}{k^2}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_e}\right)^3 \gamma^2.$$
(7.2)

On base of these calculations we obtain possibility to relate directly the critical

temperature of a superconductor with the experimentally measurable parameter: with its electronic specific heat.

Taking into account Eq.(6.20), we have:

$$\Delta_0 \simeq \Theta \gamma^2, \tag{7.3}$$

where the constant

$$\Theta \simeq 31 \frac{\pi^2}{k} \left[\frac{\alpha \hbar^2}{km_e} \right]^3 \simeq 6.65 \cdot 10^{-22} \frac{K^4 cm^6}{erg}.$$
(7.4)

 Table 7.1
 The comparison of the calculated values of superconductors critical temperatures with measured Fermi temperatures.

superconductor	T_c, \mathbf{K}	<i>T_F</i> ,K Eq(7.2)	$rac{T_c}{T_F}$
Cd	0.51	$1.81\cdot 10^5$	$2.86 \cdot 10^{-6}$
Zn	0.85	$3.30\cdot 10^5$	$2.58 \cdot 10^{-6}$
Ga	1.09	$1.65 \cdot 10^5$	$6.65\cdot 10^{-6}$
Tl	2.39	$4.67\cdot 10^5$	$5.09 \cdot 10^{-6}$
In	3.41	$7.22 \cdot 10^5$	$4.72 \cdot 10^{-6}$
Sn	3.72	$7.33 \cdot 10^5$	$5.08 \cdot 10^{-6}$
Hg	4.15	$1.05\cdot 10^6$	$3.96 \cdot 10^{-6}$
Pb	7.19	$1.85\cdot 10^6$	$3.90 \cdot 10^{-6}$

Chapter 7 The Condensate of Zero-Point Oscillations and Type-I Superconductors

superconductors	T _c (measur), K	$\gamma, rac{erg}{cm^3K^2}$	<i>T_c</i> (calc), K Eq.(7.3)	$rac{T_{c}(calc)}{T_{c}(meas)}$
Cd	0.517	532	0.77	1.49
Zn	0.85	718	1.41	1.65
Ga	1.09	508	0.70	0.65
Tl	2.39	855	1.99	0.84
In	3.41	1062	3.08	0.90
Sn	3.72	1070	3.12	0.84
Hg	4.15	1280	4.48	1.07
Pb	7.19	1699	7.88	1.09

 Table 7.2
 The comparison of the calculated values of superconductors critical temperatures with measurement data.



Figure 7.1 The comparison of critical temperatures T_c of type-I superconductors with their Fermi temperatures T_F . The straight line is obtained from Eq.(6.20).

The comparison of the calculated parameters and measured data ([22], [32]) is given in Table 7.1-7.2 and in Figure 7.1 and 8.1.

7.2 The Relation of Critical Parameters of Type-I Superconductors

The phenomenon of condensation of zero-point oscillations in the electron gas has its characteristic features.

There are several ways of destroying the zero-point oscillations condensate in electron gas:

Firstly, it can be evaporated by heating. In this case, evaporation of the condensate should possess the properties of an order-disorder transition.

Secondly, due to the fact that the oscillating electrons carry electric charge, the condensate can be destroyed by the application of a sufficiently strong magnetic field.

For this reason, the critical temperature and critical magnetic field of the condensate will be interconnected.

This interconnection should manifest itself through the relationship of the critical temperature and critical field of the superconductors, if superconductivity occurs as result of an ordering of zero-point fluctuations.

Let us assume that at a given temperature $T < T_c$ the system of vibrational levels of conducting electrons consists of only two levels:

- firstly, basic level which is characterized by an anti-phase oscillations of the electron pairs at the distance $\Lambda_0/2$, and
- secondly, an excited level characterized by in-phase oscillation of the pairs.

Let the population of the basic level be N_0 particles and the excited level has N_1 particles.

Chapter 7 The Condensate of Zero-Point Oscillations and Type-I Superconductors

Two electron pairs at an in-phase oscillations have a high energy of interaction and therefore cannot form the condensate. The condensate can be formed only by the particles that make up the difference between the populations of levels $N_0 - N_1$. In a dimensionless form, this difference defines the order parameter:

$$\Psi = \frac{N_0}{N_0 + N_1} - \frac{N_1}{N_0 + N_1}.$$
(7.5)

In the theory of superconductivity, by definition, the order parameter is determined by the value of the energy gap

$$\Psi = \Delta_T / \Delta_0. \tag{7.6}$$

When taking a counting of energy from the level ε_0 , we obtain

$$\frac{\Delta_T}{\Delta_0} = \frac{N_0 - N_1}{N_0 + N_1} \simeq \frac{e^{2\Delta_T/kT} - 1}{e^{2\Delta_T/kT} + 1} = th(2\Delta_T/kT).$$
(7.7)

Passing to dimensionless variables $\delta \equiv \frac{\Delta_T}{\Delta_0}$, $t \equiv \frac{kT}{kT_c}$ and $\beta \equiv \frac{2\Delta_0}{kT_c}$ we have

$$\delta = \frac{e^{\beta\delta/t} - 1}{e^{\beta\delta/t} + 1} = th(\beta\delta/t).$$
(7.8)

This equation describes the temperature dependence of the energy gap in the spectrum of zero-point oscillations. It is similar to other equations describing other physical phenomena, that are also characterized by the existence of the temperature dependence of order parameters [43], [44]. For example, this dependence is similar to temperature dependencies of the concentration of the superfluid component in liquid helium or the spontaneous magnetization of ferromagnetic materials. This equation is the same for all order-disorder transitions (the phase transitions of 2nd-type in the Landau classification).

The solution of this equation, obtained by the iteration method, is shown in Figure 7.2.



Figure 7.2 The temperature dependence of the value of the gap in the energetic spectrum of zero-point oscillations calculated on Eq.(7.8).

This decision is in a agreement with the known transcendental equation of the BCS, which was obtained by the integration of the phonon spectrum, and is in a satisfactory agreement with the measurement data.

After numerical integrating we can obtain the averaging value of the gap:

$$\langle \Delta \rangle = \Delta_0 \int_0^1 \delta dt = 0.852 \,\Delta_0 \,. \tag{7.9}$$

To convert the condensate into the normal state, we must raise half of its particles into the excited state (according to Eq.(7.7)), the gap collapses under this condition). To do this, taking into account Eq.(7.9), the unit volume of condensate should have the energy:

$$\mathcal{E}_T \simeq \frac{1}{2} n_0 \langle \Delta_0 \rangle \approx \frac{0.85}{2} \left(\frac{m_e}{2\pi^2 \alpha \hbar^2} \right)^{3/2} \Delta_0^{5/2},\tag{7.10}$$

On the other hand, we can obtain the normal state of an electrically charged condensate when applying a magnetic field of critical value H_c with the density of energy:

$$\mathcal{E}_H = \frac{H_c^2}{8\pi}.\tag{7.11}$$

Science Publishing Group

As a result, we acquire the condition:

$$\frac{1}{2}n_0\langle \Delta_0 \rangle = \frac{H_c^2}{8\pi}.$$
(7.12)

This creates a relation of the critical temperature to the critical magnetic field of the zero-point oscillations condensate of the charged bosons.



Figure 7.3 The comparison of the critical energy densities \mathcal{E}_T (Eq.(7.10)) and \mathcal{E}_H (Eq.(7.11)) for the type-I superconductors.

The comparison of the critical energy densities \mathcal{E}_T and \mathcal{E}_H for type-I superconductors are shown in Figure 7.3.

As shown, the obtained agreement between the energies $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{T}}$ (Eq.(7.10)) and $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{H}}$ (Eq.(7.11)) is quite satisfactory for type-I superconductors [32], [22]. A similar comparison for type-II superconductors shows results that differ by a factor two approximately. The reason for this will be considered below. The correction of this calculation, has not apparently made sense here. The purpose of these calculations was to show that the description of superconductivity as the effect of the condensation of ordered zero-point oscillations is in accordance with the available experimental data. This goal is considered reached in the simple case of type-I superconductors.

7.3 The Critical Magnetic Field of Superconductors

The direct influence of the external magnetic field of the critical value applied to the electron system is too weak to disrupt the dipole-dipole interaction of two paired electrons:

$$\mu_B H_c \ll k T_c. \tag{7.13}$$

In order to violate the superconductivity, the ordering of the electron zero-point oscillations must be destroyed. For this the presence of relatively weak magnetic field is required.

At combing of Eqs.(7.12), (7.10) and (6.16), we can express the gap through the critical magnetic field and the magnitude of the oscillating dipole moment:

$$\Delta_0 \approx \frac{1}{2} \ e \ a_0 \ H_c. \tag{7.14}$$

The properties of the zero-point oscillations of the electrons should not be dependent on the characteristics of the mechanism of association and also on the condition of the existence of electron pairs. Therefore, we should expect that this equation would also be valid for type-I superconductors, as well as for II-type superconductors (for II-type superconductor $H_c = H_{c1}$ is the first critical field)

An agreement with this condition is illustrated on the Figure 7.4.





Figure 7.4 The comparison of the calculated energy of superconducting pairs in the critical magnetic field with the value of the superconducting gap. Here, the following key applies: filled triangles - type-II superconductors, empty triangles - type-I superconductors. On vertical axis - logarithm of the product of the calculated value of the oscillating dipole moment of an electron pair on the critical magnetic field is plotted. On horizontal axis - the value of the gap is shown.

7.4 The Density of Superconducting Carriers

Let us consider the process of heating the electron gas in metal. When heating, the electrons from levels slightly below the Fermi-energy are raised to higher levels. As a result, the levels closest to the Fermi level, from which at low temperature electrons were forming bosons, become vacant.

At critical temperature T_c , all electrons from the levels of energy bands from $\mathcal{E}_F - \Delta$ to \mathcal{E}_F move to higher levels (and the gap collapses). At this temperature superconductivity is therefore destroyed completely.

This band of energy can be filled by N_{Δ} particles:

$$N_{\Delta} = 2 \int_{\mathcal{E}_F - \Delta}^{\mathcal{E}_F} F(\mathcal{E}) D(\mathcal{E}) d\mathcal{E}.$$
(7.15)

Where $F(\mathcal{E}) = \frac{1}{e^{\frac{\mathcal{E}-\mu}{\tau}}+1}$ is the Fermi-Dirac function and $D(\mathcal{E})$ is number of states per an unit energy interval, a deuce front of the integral arises from the fact that there are two electron at each energy level.

To find the density of states $D(\mathcal{E})$, one needs to find the difference in energy of the system at T = 0 and finite temperature:

$$\Delta \mathcal{E} = \int_0^\infty F(\mathcal{E}) \mathcal{E} D(\mathcal{E}) d\mathcal{E} - \int_0^{\mathcal{E}_F} \mathcal{E} D(\mathcal{E}) d\mathcal{E}.$$
 (7.16)

For the calculation of the density of states $D(\mathcal{E})$, we must note that two electrons can be placed on each level. Thus, from the expression of the Fermi-energy Eq.(6.12)

we obtain

$$D(E_F) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{dn_e}{d\mathcal{E}_F} = \frac{3n_e}{4\mathcal{E}_F} = \frac{3\gamma}{2k^2\pi^2},\tag{7.17}$$

where

$$\gamma = \frac{\pi^2 k^2 n_e}{4\mathcal{E}_F} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right)^{3/2} \left(\frac{k}{\hbar}\right)^2 m_e n_e^{1/3}$$
(7.18)

is the Sommerfeld constant¹.

Using similar arguments, we can calculate the number of electrons, which populate the levels in the range from $\mathcal{E}_F - \Delta$ to \mathcal{E}_F . For an unit volume of material, Eq.(7.15) can be rewritten as:

$$n_{\Delta} = 2kT \cdot D(\mathcal{E}_F) \int_{-\frac{\Delta_0}{kT_c}}^0 \frac{dx}{(e^x + 1)}.$$
(7.19)

By supposing that for superconductors $\frac{\Delta_0}{kT_c} = 1.86$, as a result of numerical integration we obtain

$$\int_{-\frac{\Delta_0}{kT_c}}^{0} \frac{dx}{(e^x + 1)} = \left[x - \ln(e^x + 1)\right]_{-1.86}^{0} \approx 1.22.$$
(7.20)

¹ It should be noted that because on each level two electrons can be placed, the expression for the Sommerfeld constant Eq.(7.18) contains the additional factor 1/2 in comparison with the usual formula in literature [44].

Chapter 7 The Condensate of Zero-Point Oscillations and Type-I Superconductors

Thus, the density of electrons, which throw up above the Fermi level in a metal at temperature $T = T_c$ is

$$n_e(T_c) \approx 2.44 \left(\frac{3\gamma}{k^2 \pi^2}\right) k T_c. \tag{7.21}$$

Where the Sommerfeld constant γ is related to the volume unit of the metal.

From Eq.(6.6) it follows

$$L_0 \simeq \frac{\lambda_F}{\pi \alpha} \tag{7.22}$$

and this forms the ratio of the condensate particle density to the Fermi gas density:

$$\frac{n_0}{n_e} = \frac{\lambda_F^3}{L_0^3} \simeq (\pi \alpha)^3 \simeq 10^{-5}.$$
(7.23)

When using these equations, we can find a linear dimension of localization for an electron pair:

$$L_0 = \frac{\Lambda_0}{2} \simeq \frac{1}{\pi \alpha (n_e)^{1/3}}.$$
(7.24)

or, taking into account Eq.(6.16), we can obtain the relation between the density of particles in the condensate and the value of the energy gap:

$$\Delta_0 \simeq 2\pi^2 \alpha \frac{\hbar^2}{m_e} n_0^{2/3}$$
 (7.25)

or

$$n_0 = \frac{1}{L_0^3} = \left(\frac{m_e}{2\pi^2 \alpha \hbar^2} \Delta_0\right)^{3/2}.$$
 (7.26)

It should be noted that the obtained ratios for the zero-point oscillations condensate (of bose-particles) differ from the corresponding expressions for the bose-condensate of particles, which can be obtained in many courses (see eg [43]). The expressions for the ordered condensate of zero-point oscillations have an additional coefficient α on the right side of Eq.(7.25).

The de Broglie wavelengths of Fermi electrons expressed through the Sommerfelds constant

$$\lambda_F = \frac{2\pi\hbar}{p_F(\gamma)} \simeq \frac{\pi}{3} \cdot \frac{k^2 m_e}{\hbar^2 \gamma} \tag{7.27}$$

are shown in Table 7.4.

Science Publishing Group

In accordance with Eq.(7.22), which was obtained at the zero-point oscillations consideration, the ratio $\frac{\lambda_F}{\Lambda_0} \simeq 2.3 \cdot 10^{-2}$.

In connection with this ratio, the calculated ratio of the zero-point oscillations condensate density to the density of fermions in accordance with Eq.(7.23) should be near to 10^{-5} .

It can be therefore be seen, that calculated estimations of the condensate parameters are in satisfactory agreement with experimental data of superconductors.

superconductor	λ_F , cm Eq(7.27)	Λ_0 ,cm Eq(6.6)	$rac{\lambda_F}{\Lambda_0}$	$rac{n_0}{n_e} = \left(rac{\lambda_F}{\Lambda_0} ight)^3$
Cd	$3.1 \cdot 10^{-8}$	$1.18\cdot 10^{-6}$	$2.6 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$1.8 \cdot 10^{-5}$
Zn	$2.3\cdot 10^{-8}$	$0.92\cdot 10^{-6}$	$2.5\cdot 10^{-2}$	$1.5\cdot 10^{-5}$
Ga	$3.2 \cdot 10^{-8}$	$0.81\cdot 10^{-6}$	$3.9\cdot 10^{-2}$	$6.3\cdot 10^{-5}$
Tl	$1.9 \cdot 10^{-8}$	$0.55\cdot 10^{-6}$	$3.4\cdot10^{-2}$	$4.3\cdot 10^{-5}$
In	$1.5 \cdot 10^{-8}$	$0.46\cdot 10^{-6}$	$3.2\cdot 10^{-2}$	$3.8\cdot 10^{-5}$
Sn	$1.5 \cdot 10^{-8}$	$0.44\cdot 10^{-6}$	$3.4\cdot10^{-2}$	$4.3\cdot 10^{-5}$
Hg	$1.3 \cdot 10^{-8}$	$0.42 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$3.1\cdot 10^{-2}$	$2.9\cdot 10^{-5}$
Pb	$1.0 \cdot 10^{-8}$	$0.32\cdot 10^{-6}$	$3.1\cdot 10^{-2}$	$2.9\cdot 10^{-5}$

Table 7.3 The ratios $\frac{\lambda_F}{\Lambda_0}$ and $\frac{n_0}{n_e}$ for type-I superconductors.

superconductor	n_0	$n_e(T_c)$	$2n_0/n_e(T_c)$
Cd	$6.11\cdot 10^{17}$	$1.48\cdot 10^{18}$	0.83
Zn	$1.29\cdot 10^{18}$	$3.28\cdot 10^{18}$	0.78
Ga	$1.85\cdot 10^{18}$	$2.96\cdot 10^{18}$	1.25
Al	$2.09\cdot 10^{18}$	$8.53\cdot10^{18}$	0.49
Tl	$6.03\cdot 10^{18}$	$1.09\cdot 10^{19}$	1.10
In	$1.03\cdot 10^{19}$	$1.94\cdot 10^{19}$	1.06
Sn	$1.18\cdot 10^{19}$	$2.14\cdot 10^{19}$	1.10
Hg	$1.39\cdot 10^{19}$	$2.86\cdot10^{19}$	0.97
Pb	$3.17\cdot 10^{19}$	$6.58\cdot10^{19}$	0.96

Table 7.4 The comparison of the superconducting carriers density at T = 0 with the density ofthermally activated electrons at $T = T_c$.

Based on these calculations, it is interesting to compare the density of superconducting carriers n_0 at T = 0, which is described by Eq.(7.26), with the density of normal carriers $n_e(T_c)$, which are evaporated on levels above \mathcal{E}_F at $T = T_c$ and are described by Eq.(7.21).



Figure 7.5 The comparison of the number of superconducting carriers at T = 0 with the number of thermally activated electrons at $T = T_c$.

This comparison is shown in Table 7.4 and Figure 7.5. (Data has been taken from the tables [32], [22]).

From the data described above, we can obtain the condition of destruction of superconductivity, after heating for superconductors of type-I, as written in the equation:

$$n_e(T_c) \simeq 2n_0 \tag{7.28}$$

7.5 The Sound Velocity of the Zero-Point Oscillations Condensate

The wavelength of zero-point oscillations Λ_0 in this model is an analogue of the Pippard coherence length in the BCS. As usually accepted [22], the coherence length $\xi = \frac{\hbar v_F}{4\Delta_0}$.

The ratio of these lengths, taking into account Eq.(6.20), is simply the constant:

$$\frac{\Lambda_0}{\xi} \approx 8\pi^2 \alpha^2 \approx \cdot 10^{-3}.$$
(7.29)

The attractive forces arising between the dipoles located at a distance $\frac{\Lambda_0}{2}$ from each other and vibrating in opposite phase, create pressure in the system:

$$P \simeq \frac{d\Delta_0}{dV} \simeq \frac{d_\Omega^2}{L_0^6}.$$
(7.30)

In this regard, sound into this condensation should propagate with the velocity:

$$c_s \simeq \sqrt{\frac{1}{2m_e}} \frac{dP}{dn_0}.$$
(7.31)

After the appropriate substitutions, the speed of sound in the condensate can be expressed through the Fermi velocity of electron gas

$$c_s \simeq \sqrt{2\pi^2 \alpha^3} v_F \simeq 10^{-2} v_F. \tag{7.32}$$

The condensate particles moving with velocity c_S have the kinetic energy:

$$2m_e c_s^2 \simeq \Delta_0. \tag{7.33}$$

Therefore, by either heating the condensate to the critical temperature when each of its volume obtains the energy $\mathcal{E} \approx n_0 \Delta_0$, or initiating the current of its particles with a velocity exceeding c_s , can achieve the destruction of the condensate. (Because the condensate of charged particles oscillations is considered, destroying its coherence can be also obtained at the application of a sufficiently strong magnetic field. See below.)

7.6 The Relationship Δ_0/kT_c

From Eq.(7.28) and taking into account Eqs.(7.3),(7.21) and (7.26), which were obtained for condensate, we have:

$$\frac{\Delta_0}{kT_c} \simeq 1.86. \tag{7.34}$$

Science Publishing Group

This estimation of the relationship Δ_0/kT_c obtained for condensate has a satisfactory agreement with the measured data [32].²

superconductor	$T_c,$ K	Δ_0 ,mev	$rac{\Delta_0}{kT_c}$
Cd	0.51	0.072	1.64
Zn	0.85	0.13	1.77
Ga	1.09	0.169	1.80
Tl	2.39	0.369	1.79
In	3.41	0.541	1.84
Sn	3.72	0.593	1.85
Hg	4.15	0.824	2.29
Pb	7.19	1.38	2.22

Table 7.5 The value of ratio Δ_0/kT_c obtained experimentally for type-I superconductors.

² In the BCS-theory $\frac{\Delta_0}{kT_c} \simeq 1.76$.

Chapter 8

Another Superconductors

8.1 About Type-II Superconductors

In the case of type-II superconductors the situation is more complicated.

In this case, measurements show that these metals have an electronic specific heat that has an order of value greater than those calculated on the base of free electron gas model.

The peculiarity of these metals is associated with the specific structure of their ions. They are transition metals with unfilled inner d-shell (see Table 8.1).

It can be assumed that the increase in the electronic specific heat of these metals should be associated with a characteristic interaction of free electrons with the electrons of the unfilled d-shell.

Since the heat capacity of the ionic lattice of metals is negligible at low temperatures, only the electronic subsystem is thermally active.

superconductors	electron shells
Ti	$3d^2 4s^2$
V	$3d^3 \ 4s^2$
Zr	$4d^2 5s^2$
Nb	$4d^3 5s^2$
Mo	$4d^4 5s^2$
Tc	$4d^5 5s^2$
Ru	$4d^6 5s^2$
La	$5d^1 6s^2$
Hf	$5d^2 6s^2$
Ta	$5d^3 6s^2$
W	$5d^4~6s^2$
Re	$5d^5 \ 6s^2$
Os	$5d^6 \ 6s^2$
Ir	$5d^7 6s^2$

 Table 8.1
 The external electron shells of elementary type-II superconductors.

At T = 0 the superconducting careers populates the energetic level $\mathcal{E}_F - \Delta_0$. During the destruction of superconductivity through heating, an each heated career increases its thermal vibration. If the effective velocity of vibration is v_t , its kinetic energy:

$$\mathcal{E}_k = \frac{mv_t^2}{2} \simeq \Delta_0 \tag{8.1}$$

Only a fraction of the heat energy transferred to the metal is consumed in order to increase the kinetic energy of the electron gas in the transition metals.

Another part of the energy will be spent on the magnetic interaction of a moving electron.

At contact with the d-shell electron, a freely moving electron induces onto it the magnetic field of the order of value:

$$H \approx \frac{e}{r_c^2} \frac{v}{c}.$$
(8.2)

The magnetic moment of d-electron is approximately equal to the Bohr magneton. Therefore the energy of the magnetic interaction between a moving electron of conductivity and a d-electron is approximately equal to:

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mu} \approx \frac{e^2}{2r_c} \frac{v}{c}.$$
(8.3)

This energy is not connected with the process of destruction of superconductivity.

Whereas, in metals with a filled d-shell (type-I superconductors), the whole heating energy increases the kinetic energy of the conductivity electrons and only a small part of the heating energy is spent on it in transition metals:

$$\frac{\mathcal{E}_k}{\mathcal{E}_\mu + \mathcal{E}_k} \simeq \frac{mv_t}{h} a_B. \tag{8.4}$$

So approximately

$$\frac{\mathcal{E}_k}{\mathcal{E}_\mu + \mathcal{E}_k} \simeq \frac{a_B}{L_0}.$$
(8.5)

Therefore, whereas the dependence of the gap in type-I superconductors from the heat capacity is defined by Eq.(7.3), it is necessary to take into account the relation Eq.(8.5) in type-II superconductors for the determination of this gap dependence. As a result of this estimation, we can obtain:

$$\Delta_0 \simeq \Theta \gamma^2 \left(\frac{\mathcal{E}_k}{\mathcal{E}_\mu + \mathcal{E}_k}\right) \simeq \Theta \gamma^2 \left(\frac{a_B}{L_0}\right) \frac{1}{2},\tag{8.6}$$

where 1/2 is the fitting parameter.



Figure 8.1 The comparison of the calculated values of critical temperatures of superconductors with measurement data. Circles relate to type-I superconductors, squares show type-II superconductors. On the abscissa, the measured values of critical temperatures are plotted, on ordinate, the calculated estimations are plotted. The calculations of critical temperatures for type-I superconductors were made by using Eq.(7.3) and the estimations for type-II superconductors was obtained by using Eq.(8.6).

The comparison of the results of these calculations with the measurement data (Figure 8.1) shows that for the majority of type-II superconductors the estimation Eq.(8.6) can be considered quite satisfactory.¹

¹ The lowest critical temperature was measured for Mg. It is approximately equal to 1mK. Mg-atoms in the metallic state are given two electrons into the electron gas of conductivity. It is confirmed by the fact that the pairing of these electrons, which manifests itself in the measured value of the flux quantum [42], is observed above T_c . It would seem that in view of this metallic Mg-ion must have electron shell like the Ne-atom. Therefore it is logical to expect that the critical temperature of Mg can be calculated by the formula for I-type superconductors. But actually in order to get the value of $T_c \approx 1mK$, the critical temperature of Mg should be calculated by the formula (8.6), which is applicable to the description of metals with an unfilled inner shell. This suggests that the ionic core of magnesium metal apparently is not as simple as the completely filled Ne-shell.

8.2 Alloys and High-Temperature Superconductors

In order to understand the mechanism of high temperature superconductivity, it is important to establish whether the high- T_c ceramics are the I or II-type superconductors, or whether they are a special class of superconductors.

In order to determine this, we need to look at the above established dependence of critical parameters from the electronic specific heat and also consider that the specific heat of superconductors I and II-types are differing considerably.

There are some difficulties by determining the answer this way: as we do not precisely know the density of the electron gas in high-temperature superconductors. However, the densities of atoms in metals do not differ too much and we can use Eq.(7.3) for the solution of the problem of the I- and II-types superconductors distinguishing.

If parameters of type-I superconductors are inserted into this equation, we obtain quite a satisfactory estimation of the critical temperature (as was done above, see Figure 8.1). For the type-II superconductors' values, this assessment gives an overestimated value due to the fact that type-II superconductors' specific heat has additional term associated with the magnetization of d-electrons.

This analysis therefore, illustrates a possibility where we can divide all superconductors into two groups, as is evident from the Figure 8.2.

It is generally assumed that we consider alloys Nb_3Sn and V_3Si as the type-II superconductors. This assumption seems quite normal because they are placed in close surroundings of Nb. Some excess of the calculated critical temperature over the experimentally measured value for ceramics $Ta_2Ba_2Ca_2Cu_3O_{10}$ can be attributed to the measured heat capacity that may have been created by not only conductive electrons, but also non-superconducting elements (layers) of ceramics. It is already known that it, as well as ceramics $YBa_2Cu_3O_7$, belongs to the type-II superconductors. However, ceramics (LaSr)₂Cu₄, Bi-2212 and Tl-2201, according to this figure should be regarded as type-I superconductors, which is unusual.



Figure 8.2 The comparison of the calculated parameter $\Theta \gamma^2$ with the measurement of the critical temperatures of elementary superconductors and some superconducting compounds.

Chapter 9

About the London Penetration Depth

9.1 The Magnetic Energy of a Moving Electron

To avoid these incorrect results, let us consider a balance of magnetic energy in a superconductor within magnetic field. This magnetic energy is composed of energy from a penetrating external magnetic field and magnetic energy of moving electrons.

By using formulas [46], let us estimate the ratio of the magnetic and kinetic energy of an electron (the charge of e and the mass m_e) when it moves rectilinearly with a velocity $v \ll c$.

The density of the electromagnetic field momentum is expressed by the equation:

$$\mathbf{g} = \frac{1}{4\pi c} [\mathbf{E}\mathbf{H}] \tag{9.1}$$

While moving with a velocity \mathbf{v} , the electric charge carrying the electric field with intensity *E* creates a magnetic field

$$\mathbf{H} = \frac{1}{c} [\mathbf{E}\mathbf{v}] \tag{9.2}$$

with the density of the electromagnetic field momentum (at $v \ll c$)

$$\mathbf{g} = \frac{1}{4\pi c^2} [\mathbf{E}[\mathbf{v}\mathbf{E}]] = \frac{1}{4\pi c^2} \left(\mathbf{v}E^2 - \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{v}\cdot\mathbf{E}) \right)$$
(9.3)

As a result, the momentum of the electromagnetic field of a moving electron

$$\mathbf{G} = \int_{V} \mathbf{g} dV = \frac{1}{4\pi c^{2}} \left(\mathbf{v} \int_{V} E^{2} dV - \int_{V} \mathbf{E} E v \cos \vartheta \, dV \right)$$
(9.4)

The integrals are taken over the entire space, which is occupied by particle fields, and ϑ is the angle between the particle velocity and the radius vector of the observation point. By calculating the last integral in the condition of the axial symmetry with respect to v, the contributions from the components of the vector **E**, which is perpendicular to the velocity, cancel each other for all pairs of elements of the space (if they located diametrically opposite on the magnetic force line). Therefore, according to Eq.(9.4), the component of the field which is collinear to v

$$\frac{E\cos\vartheta\cdot\mathbf{v}}{v} \tag{9.5}$$

can be taken instead of the vector **E**. By taking this information into account, going over to the spherical coordinates and integrating over angles, we can obtain

$$\mathbf{G} = \frac{\mathbf{v}}{4\pi c^2} \int_r^\infty E^2 \cdot 4\pi r^2 \, dr \tag{9.6}$$

If we limit the integration of the field by the Compton electron radius $r_C = \frac{\hbar}{m_e c}$, ¹ then $v \ll c$, and we obtain:

$$\mathbf{G} = \frac{\mathbf{v}}{4\pi c^2} \int_{r_C}^{\infty} E^2 \cdot 4\pi r^2 \, dr = \frac{\mathbf{v}}{c^2} \frac{e^2}{r_C}.$$
(9.7)

In this case by taking into account Eq.(9.2), the magnetic energy of a slowly moving electron pair is equal to:

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{vG}{2} = \frac{v^2}{c^2} \frac{e^2}{2r_C} = \alpha \frac{m_e v^2}{2}.$$
(9.8)

¹ Such effects as the pair generation force us to consider the radius of the "quantum electron" as approximately equal to Compton radius [47].

9.2 The Magnetic Energy and the London Penetration Depth

The energy of external magnetic field into volume dv:

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{H^2}{8\pi} dv. \tag{9.9}$$

At a density of superconducting carriers n_s , their magnetic energy per unit volume in accordance with (9.8):

$$\mathcal{E}_H \simeq \alpha n_s \frac{m_2 v^2}{2} = \alpha \frac{m_e j_s^2}{2n_s e},\tag{9.10}$$

where $j_s = 2en_s v_s$ is the density of a current of superconducting carriers.

Taking into account the Maxwell equation

$$\mathbf{rotH} = \frac{4\pi}{c} \mathbf{j}_s,\tag{9.11}$$

the magnetic energy of moving carriers can be written as

$$\mathcal{E}_H \simeq \frac{\tilde{\Lambda}^2}{8\pi} (rotH)^2,$$
(9.12)

where we introduce the notation

$$\widetilde{\Lambda} = \sqrt{\alpha \frac{m_e c^2}{4\pi n_s e^2}} = \sqrt{\alpha} \Lambda_L.$$
(9.13)

In this case, part of the free energy of the superconductor connected with the application of a magnetic field is equal to:

$$\mathcal{F}_H = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_V \left(H^2 + \tilde{\Lambda}^2 (rotH)^2 \right) dv.$$
(9.14)

At the minimization of the free energy, after some simple transformations we obtain

$$\mathbf{H} + \widetilde{\Lambda}^2 \mathbf{rotrot} \mathbf{H} = 0, \tag{9.15}$$

thus $\widetilde{\Lambda}$ is the depth of magnetic field penetration into the superconductor.

Science Publishing Group

In view of Eq.(7.26) from Eq.(9.13) we can estimate the values of London penetration depth (see Table 9.2). The consent of the obtained values with the measurement data can be considered quite satisfactory.

superconductors	$\lambda_L, 10^{-6}$ cm measured [23]	$\widetilde{\Lambda}, 10^{-6}$ cm calculated Eq.(9.13)	$\widetilde{\Lambda}/\lambda_L$
Tl	9.2	11.0	1.2
In	6.4	8.4	1.3
Sn	5.1	7.9	1.5
Hg	4.2	7.2	1.7
Pb	3.9	4.8	1.2

 Table 9.1
 Corrected values of London penetration depth.

The resulting refinement may be important for estimates within the frame of Ginzburg-Landau theory, where the London penetration depth is used as a comparison of calculations and specific parameters of superconductors.

Chapter 10

Three Words to Experimenters

The history of the Medes is obscure and incomprehensible. Scientists divide it, however, into three periods: The first is the period, which is absolutely unknown. The second is one which is followed after the first. And finally, the third period is a period which is known to the same degree as two firsts.

A. Averchenko \ll The World History \gg

10.1 Why Creation of Room-Temperature Superconductors are Hardly Probably

The understanding of the mechanism of the superconducting state should open a way towards finding a solution to the technological problem. This problem was just a dream

in the last century: the dream to create a superconductor that would be easily produced (in the sense of ductility) and had high critical temperature.

In order to move towards achieving this goal, it is important firstly to understand the mechanism that limits the critical properties of superconductors.

Let us consider a superconductor with a large limiting current. The length of their localisation determines the limiting momentum of superconducting carriers:

$$p_c \simeq \frac{2\pi\hbar}{L_0}.\tag{10.1}$$

Therefore, by using Eq.(7.33), we can compare the critical velocity of superconducting carriers with the sound velocity:

$$v_c = \frac{p_c}{2m_e} \simeq c_s \tag{10.2}$$

and both these velocities are about a hundred times smaller than the Fermi velocity.

The sound velocity in the crystal lattice of metal v_s , in accordance with the Bohm-Staver relation [52], has approximately the same value:

$$v_s \simeq \frac{kT_D}{E_F} v_F \simeq 10^{-2} v_F. \tag{10.3}$$

This therefore, makes it possible to consider superconductivity being destroyed as a superconducting carrier overcomes the sound barrier. That is, if they moved without friction at a speed that was less than that of sound, after it gained speed and the speed of sound was surpassed, it then acquire a mechanism of friction.

Therefore, it is conceivable that if the speed of sound in the metal lattice $v_s < c_s$, then it would create a restriction on the limiting current in superconductor.

If this is correct, then superconductors with high critical parameters should have not only a high Fermi energy of their electron gas, but also a high speed of sound in their lattice.

It is in agreement with the fact that ceramics have higher elastic moduli compared to metals and alloys, and also posses much higher critical temperatures (Figure 10.1).



Figure 10.1 The schematic representation of the dependence of critical temperature on the speed of sound in superconductors. On the ordinate, the logarithm of the superconductor's critical temperature is shown. On the abscissa, the logarithm of the square of the speed of sound is shown (for Sn and Pb - the transverse velocity of sound is shown, because it is smaller). The speed of sound in a film was used for yttrium-123 ceramics. The dashed line shows the value of the transverse velocity of sound in sapphire, as some estimation of the limit of its value. It can be seen that this estimation leads to the restriction on the critical temperature in the range about $0^{\circ}C$ - the dot-dashed line.

The dependence of the critical temperature on the square of the speed of sound [48] is illustrated in Figure 10.1.

This figure, which can be viewed only as a rough estimation due to the lack of necessary experimental data, shows that the elastic modulus of ceramics with a critical temperature close to the room temperature, should be close to the elastic modulus of sapphire, which is very difficult to achieve.

In addition, such ceramics would be deprived of yet another important quality - their adaptability. Indeed, in order to obtain a thin wire, we require a plastic superconductor.

A solution of this problem would be to find a material that possesses an acceptably high critical temperature (above 80K) and also experiences a phase transition at an even higher temperature of heat treatment. It would be possible to make a thin wire from a superconductor near the point of phase transition, as the elastic modules are typically not usually very strong at this stage.

10.2 Magnetic Electron Pairing

This considered formation of mechanism for the superconducting state provides a possibility of obtaining the estimations of the critical parameters of superconductors, which in most cases is in satisfactory agreement with measured data. For some superconductors, this agreement is stronger, and for other, such as Ir, Al, V (see Figure 8.1), it is expedient to carry out further theoretical and experimental studies due to causes of deviations.

The mechanism of magnetic electron pairing is also of fundamental interest in order to further clarify this.

As was found earlier, in the cylinders made from certain superconducting metals (Al[41] and Mg[42]), the observed magnetic flux quantization has exactly the same period above T_c and that below T_c . The authors of these studies attributed this to the influence of a special effect. It seems more natural to think that the stability of the period is a result of the pairing of electrons due to magnetic dipole-dipole interaction continuing to exist at temperatures above T_c , despite the disappearance of the material's superconducting properties. At this temperature the coherence of the zero-point fluctuations is destroyed, and with it so is the superconductivity.

The pairing of electrons due to dipole-dipole interaction should be absent in the monovalent metals. In these metals, the conduction electrons are localized in the lattice at very large distances from each other.

It is therefore interesting to compare the period of quantization in the two cases. In a thin cylinder made of a superconductor, such as Mg, above T_c the quantization period is equal to $\frac{2\pi\hbar c}{2e}$. In the same cylinder of a noble metal (such as gold), the sampling period

should be twice as large.

10.3 The Effect of Isotopic Substitution on the Condensation of Zero-Point Oscillations

The attention of experimentalists could be attracted to the isotope effect in superconductors, which served as a starting point of the B-BCS theory. In the 50's, it had been experimentally established that there is a dependence of the critical temperature of superconductors due to the mass of the isotope. As the effect depends on the ionic mass, this is considered to be due to the fact that it is based on the vibrational (phonon) process.

The isotope effect for a number of I-type superconductors - Zn, Sn, In, Hg, Pb - can be described by the relationship:

$$\sqrt{M_i}T_c = const,\tag{10.4}$$

where M_i is the mass of the isotope, T_c is the critical temperature. The isotope effect in other superconductors can either be described by other dependencies, or is absent altogether.

In recent decades, however, the effects associated with the replacement of isotopes in the metal lattice have been studied in detail. It was shown that the zero-point oscillations of ions in the lattice of many metals are non-harmonic. Therefore, the isotopic substitution can directly affect the lattice parameters, the density of the lattice and the density of the electron gas in the metal, on its Fermi energy and on other properties of the electronic subsystem.

The direct study of the effect of isotopic substitution on the lattice parameters of superconducting metals has not been carried out.

The results of measurements made on Ge, Si, diamond and light metals, such as Li [50], [49] (researchers prefer to study crystals, where the isotope effects are large, and it is easier to carry out appropriate measurements), show that there is square-root dependence of the force constants on the isotope mass, which was required by Eq.(10.4). The same dependence of the force constants on the mass of the isotope has been found in tin [51].

Unfortunately, no direct experiments of the effect of isotopic substitution on the electronic properties (such as the electronic specific heat and the Fermi energy), exist for metals substantial for our consideration.

Let us consider what should be expected in such measurements. A convenient choice for the superconductor is mercury, as it has many isotopes and their isotope effect has been carefully measured back in the 50s of the last century as aforementioned.

The linear dependence of the critical temperature of a superconductor on its Fermi energy (Eq.(6.20)) and also the existence of the isotope effect suggests the dependence of the ion density in the crystal lattice from the mass of the isotope. Let us consider what should be expected in such measurements.

Even then, it was found that the isotope effect is described by Eq.(10.4) in only a few superconductors. In others, it displays different values, and therefore in a general case it can be described by introducing of the parameter a:

$$M_i^{\mathfrak{a}} T_c = Const. \tag{10.5}$$

At taking into account Eq.(6.20), we can write

$$T_c \sim \mathcal{E}_F \sim n_e^{2/3} \tag{10.6}$$

The parameter l which characterizes the ion lattice obtains an increment Δl with an isotope substitution:

$$\frac{\Delta l}{l} = -\frac{\mathfrak{a}}{2} \cdot \frac{\Delta M_i}{M_i},\tag{10.7}$$

where M_i and ΔM_i are the mass of isotope and its increment.

It is generally accepted that in an accordance with the terms of the phonon mechanism, the parameter $\mathfrak{a} \approx \frac{1}{2}$ for mercury. However, the analysis of experimental data [35]-[36] (see Figure 4.3) shows that this parameter is actually closer to 1/3. Accordingly, one can expect that the ratio of the mercury parameters is close to:

$$\frac{\left(\frac{\Delta l}{l}\right)}{\left(\frac{\Delta M_i}{M_i}\right)} \approx -\frac{1}{6}.$$
(10.8)

Chapter 11

Superfluidity as a Subsequence of Ordering of Zero-Point Oscillations

11.1 Zero-Point Oscillations of the Atoms and Superfluidity

The main features of superfluidity of liquid helium became clear few decades ago [26], [27]. L. D. Landau explains this phenomenon as the manifestation of a quantum behavior of the macroscopic object.

However, the causes and mechanism of the formation of superfluidity are not clear till our days. There is no explanation why the λ -transition in helium-4 occurs at about 2 K, that is about twice less than its boiling point:

$$\frac{T_{boiling}}{T_{\lambda}} \approx 1.94,$$
 (11.1)

while for helium-3, this transition is observed only at temperatures about a thousand times smaller.

The related phenomenon, superconductivity, can be regarded as superfluidity of a charged liquid. It can be quantitatively described considering it as the consequence of ordering of zero-point oscillations of electron gas. Therefore it seems appropriate to consider superfluidity from the same point of view [54].

Atoms in liquid helium-4 are electrically neutral, as they have no dipole moments and do not form molecules. Yet some electromagnetic mechanism should be responsible for phase transformations of liquid helium (as well as in other condensed substance where phase transformations are related to the changes of energy of the same scale).

F. London has demonstrated already in the 1930's [53], that there is an interaction between atoms in the ground state, and this interaction is of a quantum nature. It can be considered as a kind of the Van-der-Waals interaction. Atoms in their ground state (T = 0) perform zero-point oscillations. F.London was considering vibrating atoms as three-dimensional oscillating dipoles which are connected to each other by the electromagnetic interaction. He proposed to call this interaction as the dispersion interaction of atoms in the ground state.

11.2 The Dispersion Effect in Interaction of Atoms in the Ground State

Following F. London [53], let us consider two spherically symmetric atoms without non-zero average dipole moments. Let us suppose that at some time the charges of these atoms are fluctuationally displaced from the equilibrium states:

$$\begin{cases} r_1 = (x_1, y_1, z_1) \\ r_2 = (x_2, y_2, z_2) \end{cases}$$
(11.2)

If atoms are located along the Z-axis at the distance L of each other, their potential energy can be written as:

$$\mathcal{H} = \underbrace{\frac{e^2 r_1^2}{2a} + \frac{e^2 r_2^2}{2a}}_{elastic \ dipoles \ energy} + \underbrace{\frac{e^2}{L^3} (x_1 x_2 + y_1 y_2 - 2z_1 z_2)}_{elastic \ dipoles \ interaction}.$$
(11.3)

where a is the atom polarizability.

Chapter 11 Superfluidity as a Subsequence of Ordering of Zero-Point Oscillations

The Hamiltonian can be diagonalized by using the normal coordinates of symmetric and antisymmetric displacements:

$$r_s \equiv \begin{cases} x_s = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(x_1 + x_2) \\ y_s = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(y_1 + y_2) \\ z_s = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(z_1 + z_2) \end{cases}$$

and

$$r_a \equiv \begin{cases} x_a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(x_1 - x_2) \\ y_a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(y_1 - y_2) \\ z_a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(z_1 - z_2) \end{cases}$$

This yields

$$x_{1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(x_{s} + x_{a})$$

$$y_{1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(y_{s} + y_{a})$$

$$z_{1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(z_{s} + z_{a})$$

and

$$x_{2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(x_{s} - x_{a})$$

$$y_{2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(y_{s} - y_{a})$$

$$z_{2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(z_{s} - z_{a})$$

As the result of this change of variables we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} &= \frac{e^2}{2a} (r_s^2 + r_a^2) + \frac{e^2}{2L^3} (x_s^2 + y_s^3 - 2z_s^2 - x_a^2 - y_a^2 + 2z_a^2) \\ &= \frac{e^2}{2a} \Biggl[\left(1 + \frac{a}{L^3} \right) (x_s^2 + y_s^2) + \left(1 - \frac{a}{L^3} \right) (x_a^2 + y_a^2) \\ &+ \left(1 - 2\frac{a}{L^3} \right) z_s^2 + \left(1 + 2\frac{a}{L^3} \right) z_a^2 \Biggr]. \end{aligned}$$
(11.4)

Consequently, frequencies of oscillators depend on their orientation and they are determined by the equations:

$$\Omega_{0x}^{a} = \Omega_{0y}^{a} = \Omega_{0}\sqrt{1 \pm \frac{a}{L^{3}}} \approx \Omega_{0} \left(1 \pm \frac{a}{L^{3}} - \frac{a^{2}}{8L^{6}} \pm ...\right),$$

$$\Omega_{0z}^{a} = \Omega_{0}\sqrt{1 \mp \frac{2a}{L^{3}}} \approx \Omega_{0} \left(1 \mp \frac{a}{L^{3}} - \frac{a^{2}}{2L^{6}} \mp ...\right),$$
(11.5)

where

$$\Omega_0 = \frac{2\pi e}{\sqrt{ma}} \tag{11.6}$$

Science Publishing Group

is natural frequency of the electronic shell of the atom (at $L \to \infty$). The energy of zero-point oscillations is

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{1}{2}\hbar(\Omega_0^s + \Omega_0^a) \tag{11.7}$$

It is easy to see that the description of interactions between neutral atoms do not contain terms $\frac{1}{L^3}$, which are characteristics for the interaction of zero-point oscillations in the electron gas (Eq.(6.7)) and which are responsible for the occurrence of superconductivity. The terms that are proportional to $\frac{1}{L^6}$ manifest themselves in interactions of neutral atoms.

It is important to emphasize that the energies of interaction are different for different orientations of zero-point oscillations. So the interaction of zero-point oscillations oriented along the direction connecting the atoms leads to their attraction with energy:

$$\mathcal{E}_z = -\frac{1}{2}\hbar\Omega_0 \frac{A^2}{L^6},\tag{11.8}$$

while the sum energy of the attraction of the oscillators of the perpendicular directions (x and y) is equal to one half of it:

$$\mathcal{E}_{x+y} = -\frac{1}{4}\hbar\Omega_0 \frac{A^2}{L^6} \tag{11.9}$$

(the minus sign is taken here because for this case the opposite direction of dipoles is energetically favorable).

11.3 The Estimation of Main Characteristic Parameters of Superfluid Helium

11.3.1 The Main Characteristic Parameters of the Zero-Point Oscillations of Atoms in Superfluid Helium-4

There is no repulsion in a gas of neutral bosons. Therefore, due to attraction between the atoms at temperatures below

$$T_{boil} = \frac{2}{3k} \mathcal{E}_z \tag{11.10}$$

this gas collapses and a liquid forms.

At twice lower temperature

$$T_{\lambda} = \frac{2}{3k} \mathcal{E}_{x+y} \tag{11.11}$$

all zero-point oscillations become ordered. It creates an additional attraction and forms a single quantum ensemble.

A density of the boson condensate is limited by zero-point oscillations of its atoms. At condensation the distances between the atoms become approximately equal to amplitudes of zero-point oscillations.

Coming from it, we can calculate the basic properties of an ensemble of atoms with ordered zero-point oscillations, and compare them with measurement properties of superfluid helium.

We can assume that the radius of a helium atom is equal to the Bohr radius a_B , as it follows from quantum-mechanical calculations. Therefore, the energy of electrons on the s-shell of this atom can be considered to be equal:

$$\hbar\Omega_0 = \frac{4e^2}{a_B} \tag{11.12}$$

As the polarizability of atom is approximately equal to its volume [56]

$$A \simeq a_B^3, \tag{11.13}$$

the potential energy of dispersive interaction (11.9), which causes the ordering zero-point oscillations in the ensemble of atoms, we can represent by the equation:

$$\mathcal{E}_{x+y} = -\frac{e^2}{a_B} a_B^6 n^2, \tag{11.14}$$

where the density of helium atoms

$$n = \frac{1}{L^3}$$
(11.15)

The velocity of zero-point oscillations of helium atom. It is naturally to suppose that zero-point oscillations of atoms are harmonic and the equality of kinetic and potential energies are characteristic for them:

$$\frac{M_4 \hat{v_0}^2}{2} - \frac{e^2}{a_B} a_B^6 n^2 = 0, \qquad (11.16)$$

where M_4 is mass of helium atom, \hat{v}_0 is their averaged velocity of harmonic zero-point oscillations.

Hence, after simple transformations we obtain:

$$\widehat{v}_0 = c\alpha^3 \left\{ \frac{n}{n_0} \right\},\tag{11.17}$$

where the notation is introduced:

$$n_0 = \frac{\alpha^2}{a_B^3} \sqrt{\frac{M_4}{2m_e}}.$$
 (11.18)

If the expression in the curly brackets

$$\frac{n}{n_0} = 1,$$
 (11.19)

we obtain

$$\hat{v}_0 = c\alpha^3 \cong 116.5 \ m/s.$$
 (11.20)

The density of liquid helium. The condition (11.19) can be considered as the definition of the density of helium atoms in the superfluid state:

$$n = n_0 = \frac{\alpha^2}{a_B^3} \sqrt{\frac{M_4}{2m_e}} \cong 2.172 \cdot 10^{22} \ atom/cm^3.$$
(11.21)

According to this definition, the density of liquid helium-4

$$\gamma_4 = nM_4 \cong 0.1443 \ g/cm^3 \tag{11.22}$$

that is in good agreement with the measured density of the liquid helium $0.145 \ g/cm^3$ for $T \simeq T_{\lambda}$.

Similar calculations for liquid helium-3 gives the density $0.094 \ g/cm^3$, which can be regarded as consistent with its density $0.082 \ g/cm^3$ experimentally measured near the boiling point.

The dielectric constant of liquid helium. To estimate the dielectric constant of helium we can use the Clausius-Mossotti equation [56]:

$$\frac{\varepsilon - 1}{\varepsilon + 2} = \frac{4\pi}{3}nA.$$
(11.23)

Science Publishing Group

At taking into account Eq.(11.13), we obtain

$$\varepsilon \approx 1.040,$$
 (11.24)

that differs slightly from the dielectric constant of the liquid helium, measured near the λ -point [57]:

$$\varepsilon \approx 1.057$$
 (11.25)

The temperature of λ -point. The superfluidity is destroyed at the temperature T_{λ} , at which the energy of thermal motion is compared with the energy of the Van-der-Waals bond in superfluid condensate

$$\frac{3}{2}kT_{\lambda} - \frac{e^2}{a_B}a_B^6n^2 = 0.$$
(11.26)

With taking into account Eq.(11.21)

$$T_{\lambda} = \frac{1}{3k} \frac{M_4}{m_e} \frac{\alpha^4 e^2}{a_B}$$
(11.27)

or after appropriate substitutions

$$T_{\lambda} = \frac{1}{3} \frac{M_4 c^2 \alpha^6}{k} = 2.177K,$$
(11.28)

that is in very good agreement with the measured value $T_{\lambda} = 2.172K$.

The boiling temperature of liquid helium. After comparison of Eq.(11.8) - Eq.(11.9), we have

$$T_{boil} = 2T_{\lambda} = 4.35K \tag{11.29}$$

This is the basis for the assumption that the liquefaction of helium is due to the attractive forces between the atoms with ordered lengthwise components of their oscillations.

The velocity of the first sound in liquid helium. It is known from the theory of the harmonic oscillator that the maximum value of its velocity is twice bigger than its average velocity. In this connection, at assumption that the first sound speed c_{s1} is limited by this maximum speed oscillator, we obtain

$$c_{s1} = 2\hat{v}_0 \simeq 233 \ m/s. \tag{11.30}$$

Science Publishing Group

113

It is in consistent with the measured value of the velocity of the first sound in helium, which has the maximum value of 238.3 m/s at $T \rightarrow 0$ and decreases with increasing temperature up to about 220 m/s at $T = T_{\lambda}$.

The results obtained in this section are summarized for clarity in the Table 11.1.

The measurement data in this table are mainly quoted by [55] and [57].

parameter	defining formula	calculated value	measured value
the velocity of zero-point			
oscillations of	$\widehat{v_0} = c\alpha^3$	116.5	
helium atom		m/s	
The density of atoms			
in liquid	$n = \sqrt{rac{M_4}{2m_e}} rac{lpha^2}{a_B^3}$	$2.172\cdot 10^{22}$	
helium		$atom/cm^3$	
The density			
of liquid helium-4	$\gamma = M_4 n$	144.3	$145_{T\simeq T_{\lambda}}$
g/l			
The dielectric			$1.048_{T \simeq 4.2}$
constant	$\frac{\varepsilon - 1}{\varepsilon + 2} = \frac{4\pi}{3} \alpha^2 \sqrt{\frac{M_4}{2m_e}}$	1.040	
of liquid helium-4			$1.057_{T\simeq T_{\lambda}}$
The temperature			
	$T_{\lambda} \simeq \frac{M_4 c^2 \alpha^6}{3}$	2.177	2.172
λ -point,K			
The boiling			
temperature	$T_{boil} \simeq 2T_{\lambda}$	4.35	4.21
of helium-4,K			
The first sound			
velocity,	$c_{s1} = 2\widehat{v_0}$	233	$238.3_{T \to 0}$
m/s			

Table 11.1 Comparison of the calculated values of liquid helium-4 with the measurement data.

11.3.2 The Estimation of Characteristic Properties of He-3

The estimation of characteristic properties of He-3. The results of similar calculations for the helium-3 properties are summarized in the Table 11.2.

parameter	defining formula	calculated value	measured value
The velocity of zero-point			
oscillations of	$\widehat{v_0} = c\alpha^3$	116.5	
helium atom		m/s	
The density of atoms			
in liquid	$n_3 = \sqrt{\frac{M_3}{2m_e}} \frac{\alpha^2}{a_B^3}$	$1.88\cdot 10^{22}$	
helium-3		$atom/cm^3$	
The density			
of liquid	$\gamma = M_3 n_3$	93.7	82.3
helium-3, g/l			
The dielectric			
constant	$\frac{\varepsilon - 1}{\varepsilon + 2} = \frac{4\pi}{3} \alpha^2 \sqrt{\frac{M_3}{2m_e}}$	1.035	
of liquid helium-3			
The boiling			
temperature	$T_{boil} \simeq \frac{4}{3} \frac{\mathcal{E}_{x+y}}{k}$	3.27	3.19
of helium-3,K			
The sound velocity			
in liquid	$c_s = 2\widehat{v_0}$	233	
helium-3		m/s	

Table 11.2The characteristic properties of liquid helium-3.

There is a radical difference between mechanisms of transition to the superfluid state for He-3 and He-4. Superfluidity occurs if complete ordering exists in the atomic system. For superfluidity of He-3 electromagnetic interaction should order not only zero-point vibrations of atoms, but also the magnetic moments of the nuclei.

It is important to note that all characteristic dimensions of this task: the amplitude of the zero-point oscillations, the atomic radius, the distance between atoms in liquid helium - all equal to the Bohr radius a_B by the order of magnitude. Due to this fact, we can estimate the oscillating magnetic field, which a fluctuating electronic shell creates on "its" nucleus:

$$H_{\Omega} \approx \frac{e}{a_B^2} \frac{a_B \Omega_0}{c} \approx \frac{\mu_B}{A_3},\tag{11.31}$$

where $\mu_B = \frac{e\hbar}{2m_ec}$ is the Bohr magneton, A_3 is the electric polarizability of helium-3 atom.

Because the value of magnetic moments for the nuclei He-3 is approximately equal to the nuclear Bohr magneton $\mu_{n_B} = \frac{e\hbar}{2m_pc}$, the ordering in their system must occur below the critical temperature

$$T_c = \frac{\mu_{n_B} H_\Omega}{k} \approx 10^{-3} K. \tag{11.32}$$

This finding is in agreement with the measurement data. The fact that the nuclear moments can be arranged in parallel or antiparallel to each other is consistent with the presence of the respective phases of superfluid helium-3.

Concluding this approach permits to explain the mechanism of superfluidity in liquid helium.

In this way, the quantitative estimations of main parameters of the liquid helium and its transition to the superfluid state were obtained.

It was established that the phenomenon of superfluidity as well as the phenomenon of superconductivity is based on the physical mechanism of the ordering of zero-point oscillations.